

A tool for X-ray absorption spectra (XAS) calculations

G. Radtke^{*} & N. Mas^{*, 4}

*Institut de Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux et de Cosmochimie, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Sorbonne Universités *Synchrotron SOLEIL

Slides courtesy of O. Bunău, M. Calandra and D. Cabaret







EWinS 2016 - EUSpec Winter School on Core level spectroscopies Ajdovščina, Slovenia, February 5th 2016

Outline

1. Introduction on XAS

- 2. The PAW theory
- 3. Hands on (I) : Si-K edge in α -quartz SiO₂

-GIPAW pseudopotential generation for Si and Si1s^{*} -SCF calculation for α -quartz SiO₂ unit cell without/with core-hole -core wave-function extraction

- 4. The Lanczos algorithm
- 5. Hands on (II) : Si-K edge in α -quartz SiO₂

-XSpectra calculations for α -quartz SiO₂ unit cell without/with core-hole

- Supercell calculation : 2x2x2 cell for lpha -quartz SiO $_2$
- 6. Hands on (III) : Dipolar and Quadrupolar contributions at the Ni-K edge in NiO
- 7. Summary

A probe of the projected density of unoccupied electronic states



XSpectra

What is it ?

XSpectra calculates X-ray absorption dipolar and quadrupolar cross sections in the preedge to near-edge region within the single particle approximation (i.e. mostly K or L_1 edges but also certain L_{23} edges)

Where can I find XSpectra?

XSpectra is distributed in the QUANTUM-ESPRESSO package (<u>http://www.quantum-espresso.org/</u>)

Can I use it ?

XSpectra is distributed under the GNU licence, so you can use it for free. Please remember to cite the following papers to acknowledge people building the software:

- P. Giannozzi et al., J. Phys. Condens. Matter **21**, 395502 (2009).
- C. Gougoussis, M. Calandra, A. P. Seitsonen and F. Mauri, Phys. Rev. B 80, 075102 (2009)
- M. Taillefumier, D. Cabaret, A. M. Flank and F. Mauri, Phys. Rev. B 66, 195107 (2002)

Outline

1. Introduction on XAS

2. The PAW theory

3. Hands on (I) : Si-K edge in α -quartz SiO₂

-GIPAW pseudopotential generation for Si and Si1s^{*} -SCF calculation for α -quartz SiO₂ unit cell without/with core-hole -core wave-function extraction

- 4. The Lanczos algorithm
- 5. Hands on (II) : Si-K edge in α -quartz SiO₂

-XSpectra calculations for α -quartz SiO₂ unit cell without/with core-hole

- Supercell calculation : 2x2x2 cell for α -quartz SiO₂
- 6. Hands on (III) : Dipolar and Quadrupolar contributions at the Ni-K edge in NiO
- 7. Summary

The PAW (Projected Augmented Wave) method

We need to reconstruct the all electron (AE) states from pseudo (PS) states (Note : AE states do not mean many-electron states !!!)

The problem arises for $|\psi_f\rangle$ in the absorption cross-section, as we only get $|\tilde{\psi}_f\rangle$ from the PP-PW calculation. $|\psi_i\rangle$ is an atomic core-state.



 ${\mathcal T}$ is linear

 ${\cal T}$ differs from identity in the core (augmentation) regions $\,\Omega_{m R}\,$ only :



Using
$$|\psi_f\rangle = \mathcal{T}|\tilde{\psi}_f\rangle$$
, we get:
 $\langle \psi_f | \mathcal{O} | \psi_i \rangle = \langle \tilde{\psi}_f | \mathcal{O} | \psi_i \rangle + \sum_{\mathbf{R},n} \langle \tilde{\psi}_f | \tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R},n} \rangle \langle \phi_{\mathbf{R},n} | \mathcal{O} | \psi_i \rangle - \sum_{\mathbf{R},n} \langle \tilde{\psi}_f | \tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R},n} \rangle \langle \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{R},n} | \mathcal{O} | \psi_i \rangle$

Here, $|\psi_i\rangle$ is localized on the site of the absorbing atom R_0 so that all matrix elements will be negligible except for $R=R_0$

$$\langle \psi_f | \mathcal{O} | \psi_i \rangle \approx \langle \tilde{\psi}_f | \mathcal{O} | \psi_i \rangle + \sum_n \langle \tilde{\psi}_f | \tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R}_0, n} \rangle \langle \phi_{\mathbf{R}_0, n} | \mathcal{O} | \psi_i \rangle - \sum_n \langle \tilde{\psi}_f | \tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R}_0, n} \rangle \langle \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{R}_0, n} | \mathcal{O} | \psi_i \rangle$$

Using $\sum_n |\tilde{p}_{R,n}
angle \langle \tilde{\phi}_{R,n}| = 1$, the first and last terms cancel, so that :

$$\langle \psi_f | \mathcal{O} | \psi_i \rangle \approx \sum_n \langle \tilde{\psi}_f | \tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R}_0, n} \rangle \langle \phi_{\mathbf{R}_0, n} | \mathcal{O} | \psi_i \rangle$$

Defining $|\tilde{\varphi}_{R_0}\rangle = \sum_n |\tilde{p}_{R_0,n}\rangle\langle\phi_{R_0,n}|\mathcal{O}|\psi_i\rangle$, the cross-section finally reads :

$$\sigma(\omega) = 4\pi^2 \alpha_0 \hbar \omega \sum_f |\langle \tilde{\psi}_f | \tilde{\varphi}_{R_0} \rangle|^2 \delta \left(E_f - E_i - \hbar \omega \right)$$

M. Taillefumier et al., Phys. Rev. B **66**, 195107 (2002)

Practical PAW for XAS

In the expression :

$$|\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{R}_0}\rangle = \sum_n |\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R}_0,n}\rangle \langle \phi_{\mathbf{R}_0,n} | \mathcal{O} | \psi_i \rangle$$

The sum runs over a complete set, i.e. an infinite number of projectors !!

In practice a **finite** number of projectors is enough :

• 1 projector/channel (ℓ)



• 2 projectors/channel (ℓ)

correct intensities in the near edge region (~ 50 eV above the edge, in most of the cases)
 need to be linearly independent (i.e., span a 2 x 2 subspace)

Finally, we need the core WF **without** the core-hole and the AE partial-waves (from PP generation) to fully determine $|\tilde{\varphi}_{R_0}\rangle$

Let's go for an example : the Si and the Si1s* ultrasoft PPs

Outline

- 1. Introduction on XAS
- 2. The PAW theory
- 3. Hands on (I) : Si-K edge in α -quartz SiO₂

-GIPAW pseudopotential generation for Si and Si1s^{*} -SCF calculation for α -quartz SiO₂ unit cell without/with core-hole -core wave-function extraction

- 4. The Lanczos algorithm
- 5. Hands on (II) : Si-K edge in α -quartz SiO₂

-XSpectra calculations for α -quartz SiO₂ unit cell without/with core-hole

- Supercell calculation : 2x2x2 cell for α -quartz SiO₂
- 6. Hands on (III) : Dipolar and Quadrupolar contributions at the Ni-K edge in NiO
- 7. Summary

Practical details

cp -r ~/TutorialXSpectra /scratch/ cd /scratch/TutorialXSpectra/

Directory structure:

./pseudo/	pseudopotentials for this tutorial
./PPgeneration/	input files necessary to generate a GIPAW pseudopotential for Si
./SiO2 ./SiO2h ./SiOsupercell ./NiO	input files to be modified for the examples
./*/outdir/	tmp output
./solutions/	reference inputs and outputs
./references/	relevant papers, the .pdf of these lecture and manual INPUT_XSPECTRA

Calculation flow for XAS with XSpectra

Prepare the GIPAW pseudopotentials



Prepare input file and run XSpectra

The GIPAW pseudopotential includes all the reconstruction information needed to run XSPECTRA

 needed for the absorbing atom only (non-absorbing atoms accept any kind of pseudopotential)

• contains the following information on the absorbing atom:

• the core wavefunction without hole

- the all electron partial waves atomic states
- the Blöchl projectors

can be obtained with the atomic code ldl.x

We want to study the Si K-edge in α -quartz (SiO₂) in the electric dipole approximation. This corresponds to electronic transitions :

1s core-state



unoccupied *p* states

We only need a PP with two p projectors. We add the s projectors as an exercise even if they are not required

	Projector channel	First projector Energy	Second projector Energy	
optional	S	3s state	4s state	
mandatory	р	3p state	4p state	

Remember that a minimum of 2 projectors/channel is needed !!

```
$ cd PPgeneration
$ gedit Si.ld1.in
```

•••

```
&input
    title='Si',
    zed=14.,
    rel=1,
    config='1s2 2s2 2p6 3s2 3p2 3d-2 4s0 4p0',
    iswitch=3,
    dft='PBE'
/
```

File Si.ld1.in

```
&input
    title='Si',
    zed=14.,
    rel=1,
    config='1s2 2s2 2p6 3s2 3p2 3d-2 4s0 4p0',
    iswitch=3,
    dft='PBE'
/
```

Atomic number

File Si.ld1.in

```
&input

title='Si',

zed=14.,

rel=1,

Config='1s2 2s2 2p6 3s2 3p2 3d-2 4s0 4p0',

iswitch=3,

dft='PBE'

/
```

Electronic configuration of the isolated atom.



...

3s, 3p, 4s and 4p states **must be included** because we want to generate projectors at their energies.

File Si.ld1.in

```
&input
    title='Si',
    zed=14.,
    rel=1,
    config=`1s2 2s2 2p6 3s2 3p2 3d-2 4s0 4p0',
    iswitch=3,
    dft='PBE`
/
```

Exchange-correlation functionnal (PZ, PW91, BP, PBE ...)



...

The pseudopotentials for all atoms in the calculation must have the **same functionnal**.

```
File Si.ld1.in
```

```
&inputp
   pseudotype=3,
   file_pseudopw='Si.pbe-us_gipaw.UPF',
   lloc=2,
   tm=.true.
   which_augfun='PSQ'
   rmatch_augfun=1.8
   nlcc=.true.,
   new_core_ps=.true.,
   rcore=1.3,
   lgipaw_reconstruction=.true.
```

Type of the pseudopotential:

- 2 for Norm-conserving
- 3 for Ultrasoft

...

File Si.ld1.in

...

```
&inputp
   pseudotype=3,
   file_pseudopw='Si.pbe-us_gipaw.UPF'.
   lloc=2,
   tm=.true.
   which_augfun='PSQ'
   rmatch_augfun=1.8
   nlcc=.true.,
   new_core_ps=.true.,
   rcore=1.3,
   lgipaw_reconstruction=.true.
```

File where the generated PP is written

```
File Si.ld1.in
```

...

```
&inputp
  pseudotype=3,
  file_pseudopw='Si.pbe-us_gipaw.UPF',
  lloc=2,
  tm=.true.
  which_augfun='PSQ'
  rmatch_augfun=1.8
  nlcc=.true.,
  new_core_ps=.true.,
  rcore=1.3,
  lgipaw_reconstruction=.true.
```

Flag to generate pseudo-potentials containing GIPAW information

File Si.ld1.in

5								Number of wave functions
3S	1	0	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.10	0.0	to be pseudized
3S	1	0	0.00	6.00	1.40	2.10	0.0	
ЗP	2	1	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.10	0.0	
ЗP	2	1	0.00	6.00	1.40	2.10	0.0	
ЗD	3	2	-2.00	-0.30	2.00	2.00	0.0	
/								
&te	st							
_/								
4								Number of projectors
3S	1	0	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.10	0.0	
4S	2	0	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.10	0.0	
ЗP	2	1	2.00	0.00	1.40	2.10	0.0	
4P	3	1	0.00	4.00	1.40	2.10	0.0	

File Si.ld1.in

5								
3S	1	0	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.10	0.0	
3S	1	0	0.00	6.00	1.40	2.10	0.0	
ЗP	2	1	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.10	0.0	Generation paramete
ЗP	2	1	0.00	6.00	1.40	2.10	0.0	
3D	3	2	-2.00	-0.30	2.00	2.00	0.0	
/								
&te	st							
/								
4								
3S	1	0	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.10	0.0	
4S	2	0	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.10	0.0	Projectors parameters
ЗP	2	1	2.00	0.00	1.40	2.10	0.0	
4P	3	1	0.00	4.00	1.40	2.10	0.0	



The projectors parameters are the same as the generation parameters except for their energy position

\$ \$BIN/ld1.x < Si.ld1.in > Si.ld1.out

Generate the pseudopotential Si.pbe-us_gipaw.UPF



Pseudopotentials **must be generated with care**. Tests are required (but it's not the purpose of this tutorial).

Inclusion of a core-hole



Including a core-hole in a PP

- \$ cp Si.ld1.in Sih.ld1.in
- \$ gedit Sih.ld1.in



title='Sih'

config= 1s1 2s2 2p6 3s2 3p2 3d-2 4s0 4p0', Hole in the 1s state

```
file_pseudopw='Sih.pbe-us_gipaw.UPF',
```

\$ \$BIN/ld1.x < Sih.ld1.in > Sih.ld1.out

Generate the pseudopotential file : Sih.pbe-us_gipaw.UPF

```
$ cp *.UPF ../pseudo
```

\$ cd ../pseudo

Pseudopotentials including GIPAW informations are available in the online QUANTUM-ESPRESSO pseudopotential table.

http://www.quantum-espresso.org/pseudopotentials/

e.g. Ni.star1s-pbe-sp-mt_gipaw.UPF

starNs: a core-hole in the s state with principal quantum number N.PBE: the exchange-correlation functional used during PP generationgipaw: gipaw information is included in the absorbing atom.

If not, you may find pslibrary on www.qe-forge.org useful :

Add the reconstruction information (gipaw keyword + list of projectors) to the existing Id1 inputs and generate your own GIPAW pseudopotentials.

Calculation flow for XAS with XSpectra



Simply run the following shell script (located in the XSpectra/tools directory in the Quantum Espresso distribution) :

\$ cd ../pseudo

\$ /scratch/XSpectra/tools/upf2plotcore.sh < Si.pbemt_gipaw.UPF > Si.wfc



The pseudopotential **without a core-hole** should be used : there is no corehole in the initial state.

The .wfc file contains the radial part of $|\psi_i\rangle$ obtained from an atomic AE SCF calculation, it is therefore an AE wave-function. This WF is required by XSpectra.



Trigonal Structure :

• Space Group: $P3_221$ a = b = 4.9138Å, c = 5.4052Å $\alpha = \beta = 90^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 120^{\circ}$

- 9 atoms in the unit cell :
 - 3 Si 6 O



x y z Si 3a 0.4670 0.0000 0.0000 O 6c 0.4131 0.2677 0.1189

α – quartz – SCF calculation

```
$ cd ../SiO2
$ gedit SiO2.scf.in
 &system
    ibrav = 4,
    A = 4.9138,
    C = 5.4052,
    nat = 9
                                 The absorbing atom needs to be distinguished
                            ntyp = 3
                                 from the others (even when crystallographically
    nspin=1,
                                 equivalent)
    ecutwfc = 40.0,
    ecutrho = 150.0
ATOMIC SPECIES
Si1
      28.086
                 Si.pbe-us gipaw.UPF
                 Si.pbe-us gipaw.UPF
Si
      28.086
      15.9994
                 O.pbe-rrkjus.UPF
0
```

Run scf calculation

\$ \$BIN/pw.x < SiO2.scf.in > SiO2.scf.out &

Self-consistent calculation of the charge density

Copy the core wavefunction

\$ cp ../pseudo/Si.wfc ./

Run XSpectra calculation

\$ \$BIN/xspectra.x < SiO2.xspectra.in > SiO2.xspectra.out &

Outline

- 1. Introduction on XAS
- 2. The PAW theory
- 3. Hands on (I) : Si-K edge in α -quartz SiO₂

-GIPAW pseudopotential generation for Si and Si1s^{*} -SCF calculation for α -quartz SiO₂ unit cell without/with core-hole -core wave-function extraction

4. The Lanczos algorithm

5. Hands on (II) : Si-K edge in α -quartz SiO₂

-XSpectra calculations for α -quartz SiO₂ unit cell without/with core-hole

- Supercell calculation : 2x2x2 cell for α -quartz SiO₂
- 6. Hands on (III) : Dipolar and Quadrupolar contributions at the Ni-K edge in NiO
- 7. Summary

$$\sigma(\omega) = 4\pi^2 \alpha_0 \hbar \omega \sum_f |\langle \tilde{\psi}_f | \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{R}_0} \rangle|^2 \delta \left(E_f - E_i - \hbar \omega \right)$$

The direct, brute-force, sum over the unoccupied states *f* is very expensive. Instead, we use a recursive method proposed originally by Haydock, Heine and Kelly. The cross-section can be re-expressed as :

$$\sigma(\omega) = 4\pi^2 \alpha_0 \hbar \omega \sum_f \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{R}_0} | \tilde{\psi}_f \rangle \delta \left(E_f - E_i - \hbar \omega \right) \langle \tilde{\psi}_f | \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{R}_0} \rangle$$

where the imaginary part of the pseudo-Green function $\tilde{G}(E) = (E - \tilde{H} + i\gamma)^{-1}$ associated with the pseudo-Hamiltonian $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{T}^{\dagger}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{T}$ (with $E = E_i + \hbar\omega$):

$$-\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}\left[\tilde{G}(E)\right] = \sum_{f} |\tilde{\psi}_{f}\rangle \delta\left(E_{f} - E\right) \langle \tilde{\psi}_{f}|$$

We therefore simply re-write the cross-section under the form of the imaginary part of a matrix element :

$$\sigma(\omega) = -4\pi\alpha_0 \hbar\omega \text{Im} \left[\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{R_0} | (E - \tilde{H} + i\gamma)^{-1} | \tilde{\varphi}_{R_0} \rangle \right]$$

R. Haydock, V. Heine and M. Kelly, J. Phys C **5**, 2845 (1972)
M. Taillefumier *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 195107 (2002)

Note : Even under this form (after the sum over f is removed) the numerical task remains very large as the calculation of $\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{R_0} | (E - \tilde{H} + i\gamma)^{-1} | \tilde{\varphi}_{R_0} \rangle$ requires a matrix inversion for each energy E.

Matrix element calculated as a continued fraction using the Lanczos algorithm.

The empty states are not calculated explicitly. The sum over empty states depends on the occupied bands only.

We use the Lanczos recursive algorithm to bring $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ in a **tridiagonal** form. The Lanczos basis is generated by successively applying $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$:

$$|u_0\rangle = |\tilde{\varphi}_{R_0}\rangle / \sqrt{\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{R_0} | \tilde{\varphi}_{R_0} \rangle }$$

$$b_1 |u_1\rangle = \tilde{\mathcal{H}} |u_0\rangle - a_0 |u_0\rangle \text{ with } a_0 = \langle u_0 | \tilde{\mathcal{H}} |u_0\rangle$$

$$b_{i+1} |u_{i+1}\rangle = \tilde{\mathcal{H}} |u_i\rangle - a_i |u_i\rangle - b_i |u_{i-1}\rangle \text{ with } a_i = \langle u_i | \tilde{\mathcal{H}} |u_i\rangle \text{ and } b_i = \langle u_{i-1} | \tilde{\mathcal{H}} |u_i\rangle = \langle u_i | \tilde{\mathcal{H}} |u_{i-1}\rangle$$

Thus, the pseudo-Hamiltonian in the Lanczos basis reads :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & a_1 & b_2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & a_2 & b_3 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_3 & a_3 & b_4 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & b_{n-1} & a_{n-1} & b_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & b_n & a_n \end{pmatrix}$$
The Lanczos algorithm and the continued fraction

Matrix element calculated as a continued fraction using the Lanczos algorithm.

The empty states are not calculated explicitly. The sum over empty states depends on the occupied bands only.

2 We calculate the matrix element $\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{R_0} | (E - \tilde{H} + i\gamma)^{-1} | \tilde{\varphi}_{R_0} \rangle$ as a continued fraction :



This is what XSpectra calculates : Needs to be converged, i.e. the Lanczos space should be large enough.

C. Gougoussis et al. *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 075102 (2009) M. Taillefumier et al. , *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 195107 (2002)

Outline

- 1. Introduction on XAS
- 2. The PAW theory
- 3. Hands on (I) : Si-K edge in α -quartz SiO₂

-GIPAW pseudopotential generation for Si and Si1s^{*} -SCF calculation for α -quartz SiO₂ unit cell without/with core-hole -core wave-function extraction

- 4. The Lanczos algorithm
- 5. Hands on (II) : Si-K edge in α -quartz SiO₂

-XSpectra calculations for α -quartz SiO₂ unit cell without/with core-hole - Supercell calculation : 2x2x2 cell for α -quartz SiO₂

- 6. Hands on (III) : Dipolar and Quadrupolar contributions at the Ni-K edge in NiO
- 7. Summary

Calculation flow for XAS with XSpectra



\$ gedit SiO2.xspectra.in

...

```
&input xspectra
   calculation='xanes dipole'
  edge='K'
  prefix='SiO2',
  outdir='./outdir/',
  xonly plot=.false.,
  xiabs=1,
  xepsilon(1)=0.0,
  xepsilon(2)=0.0,
  xepsilon(3)=1.0,
   xcoordcrys=.true.
   x save file='SiO2 9at.xanes.sav',
   xniter=2000,
   xcheck conv=50,
  xerror=0.001,
```

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&input xspectra
```

...

```
calculation='xanes dipole'
edge='K'
prefix='SiO2',
outdir='./outdir/',
xonly plot=.false.,
xiabs=1,
xepsilon(1)=0.0,
xepsilon(2)=0.0,
xepsilon(3)=1.0,
xcoordcrys=.true.
x save file='SiO2 9at.xanes.sav',
xniter=2000,
xcheck conv=50,
xerror=0.001,
```

Type of calculation: xanes_dipole $\mathcal{O} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}$ xanes_quadrupole $\mathcal{O} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} \right)$

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&input xspectra
   calculation='xanes dipole'
  edge='K'
  prefix='SiO2',
  outdir='./outdir/',
  xonly plot=.false.,
   xiabs=1,
  xepsilon(1)=0.0,
  xepsilon(2)=0.0,
   xepsilon(3)=1.0,
   xcoordcrys=.true.
   x save file='SiO2 9at.xanes.sav',
   xniter=2000,
   xcheck conv=50,
  xerror=0.001,
```

Type of edge : K, L_2 , L_3 or L_{23}

...

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&input xspectra
   calculation='xanes dipole'
  edge='K'
  prefix='SiO2',
   outdir='./outdir/',
   xonly plot=.false.,
   xiabs=1,
  xepsilon(1)=0.0,
  xepsilon(2)=0.0,
   xepsilon(3)=1.0,
   xcoordcrys=.true.
   x save file='SiO2 9at.xanes.sav',
   xniter=2000,
   xcheck conv=50,
  xerror=0.001,
```



Prefix and outdir of the scf calculation

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&input xspectra
   calculation='xanes dipole'
  edge='K'
  prefix='SiO2',
  outdir='./outdir/',
   xonly plot=.false.,
   xiabs=1,
   xepsilon(1)=0.0,
  xepsilon(2)=0.0,
   xepsilon(3)=1.0,
   xcoordcrys=.true.
   x save file='SiO2 9at.xanes.sav',
   xniter=2000,
   xcheck conv=50,
  xerror=0.001,
```



Rank of the absorbing atom in the **ATOMIC_SPECIES** list of the scf

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&input xspectra
   calculation='xanes dipole'
  edge='K'
  prefix='SiO2',
  outdir='./outdir/',
   xonly plot=.false.,
   xiabs=1,
   xepsilon(1)=0.0,
  xepsilon(2)=0.0,
   xepsilon(3)=1.0,
   xcoordcrys=.true.
   x save file='SiO2 9at.xanes.sav',
   xniter=2000,
   xcheck conv=50,
  xerror=0.001,
```

Coordinates of the incident x-ray polarization vector (in crystal coordinates because of xcoordcrys)

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&input xspectra
   calculation='xanes dipole'
  edge='K'
  prefix='SiO2',
  outdir='./outdir/',
  xonly plot=.false.,
  xiabs=1,
  xepsilon(1)=0.0,
  xepsilon(2)=0.0,
   xepsilon(3)=1.0,
   xcoordcrys=.true.
   x save file='SiO2 9at.xanes.sav'
   xniter=2000,
   xcheck conv=50,
  xerror=0.001,
```

Save file storing the Lanczos a and b parameters

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&input xspectra
   calculation='xanes dipole'
  edge='K'
  prefix='SiO2',
  outdir='./outdir/',
  xonly plot=.false.,
  xiabs=1,
  xepsilon(1)=0.0,
  xepsilon(2)=0.0,
   xepsilon(3)=1.0,
   xcoordcrys=.true.
   x save file='SiO2 9at.xanes.sav',
   xniter=2000,
   xcheck conv=50,
  xerror=0.001,
```

Maximum number of iterations (maximum dimension of the Lanczos basis)

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&input xspectra
   calculation='xanes dipole'
  edge='K'
  prefix='SiO2',
  outdir='./outdir/',
  xonly plot=.false.,
  xiabs=1,
  xepsilon(1)=0.0,
  xepsilon(2)=0.0,
   xepsilon(3)=1.0,
   xcoordcrys=.true.
   x save file='SiO2 9at.xanes.sav',
   xniter=2000,
   xcheck conv=50,
  xerror=0.001,
```

Number of iterations between two convergence checks

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&input xspectra
   calculation='xanes dipole'
  edge='K'
  prefix='SiO2',
  outdir='./outdir/',
  xonly plot=.false.,
  xiabs=1,
  xepsilon(1)=0.0,
  xepsilon(2)=0.0,
   xepsilon(3)=1.0,
   xcoordcrys=.true.
   x save file='SiO2 9at.xanes.sav',
   xniter=2000,
   xcheck conv=50,
  xerror=0.001,
```

Convergence threshold on the integral of the XAS cross-section

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&plot
```

• • •

```
xnepoint=1000,
xemin=-10.0,
xemax=50.0,
gamma_mode='constant'
xgamma=0.9,
cut_occ_states=.true.,
terminator=.true.,
```

Range in energy for the XANES calculation: [xemin; xemax] with xnepoints

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&plot
    xnepoint=1000,
    xemin=-10.0,
    xemax=50.0,
    gamma_mode='constant'
    xgamma=0.9,
    cut_occ_states=.true.,
    terminator=.true.,
```

Lorentzian broadening parameters (related to the finite lifetime of the core-hole).

Here, a constant broadening of 0.9 eV over the whole energy range.



...

Can be made energy-dependant.

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

...

```
&plot
    xnepoint=1000,
    xemin=-10.0,
    xemax=50.0,
    gamma_mode='constant'
    xgamma=0.9,
    Cut_occ_states=.true.,
    terminator=.true.,
/
```

Cut smoothly the occupied states (useful for metallic systems)

See Ch. Brouder, M. Alouani, K.H. Bennemann Phys. Rev. B 54 7334 (1996) for details

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

&plot

...

```
xnepoint=1000,
xemin=-10.0,
xemax=50.0,
gamma_mode='constant'
xgamma=0.9,
cut_occ_states=.true.,
terminator=.true.,
```

if .true. imposes the use of a terminator, $(a_i; b_i) = (a_N; b_N)$ for i > N, allowing an analytical form of the continued fraction.

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&pseudos
    filecore='Si.wfc',
/
    &cut_occ
        cut_desmooth=0.1,
/
4 4 4 0 0 0
```

Core wavefunction file

```
file SiO2.xspectra.in
```

```
&pseudos
    filecore='Si.wfc',
/
    &cut_occ
        cut_desmooth=0.1,
/
4 4 4 0 0 0
```



Brillouin zone sampling The k-point sampling is not necessarily the same as in the SCF run.

- SiO2.xspectra.out contains information about the run
- xanes.dat
 contains the XAS spectrum
 (can be visualized with usual plotting tools)
- SiO2.xanes.sav save file, containing information on the Lanczos process (a and b vectors)

```
$ mv xanes.dat SiO2.xanes.dat Rename
$ gnuplot
> plot `SiO2.xanes.dat'
```



We did not include the core-hole in our calculation !

α – quartz - Calculation with a core-hole – SCF Part



3) Change the name of the pseudopotential file for the absorbing atom: Sil 28.086 Sih.pbe-us gipaw.UPF

\$ \$BIN/pw.x < SiO2h.scf.in > SiO2h.scf.out &

Run the scf calculation in the presence of a core-hole

α – quartz - Calculation with a core-hole – XSpectra Part

\$ mv SiO2.xspectra.in SiO2h.xspectra.in Rename
\$ gedit SiO2h.xspectra.in



1) Change prefix: prefix='SiO2h'

2) Change .sav file name: x_save_file='SiO2h.xanes.sav',!

\$ \$BIN/xspectra.x < SiO2h.xspectra.in > SiO2h.xspectra.out

Run the XSpectra calculation in the presence of a core-hole

Quanlitative core hole effect (in insulators)



α – quartz - Calculation with a core-hole – XSpectra Part

- \$ mv xanes.dat SiO2h.xanes.dat
- \$ gnuplot
 - > plot 'SiO2h.xanes.dat'



Let's try with a supercell !

Due to periodic boundary conditions, we have to avoid spurious interaction of the excited atom with its periodically repeated images.





- atom with a core-hole
- atom without a core-hole

Usually 8 - 10 Å separation is enough

α – quartz – Construction of a 2x2x2 supercell

```
$ cd ../SiO2supercell
```

```
$ gedit SiO2_AtomsPositions.txt
```

```
$ bash supercell.sh (home-made script)
```

```
> SiO2_AtomsPositions.txt
```

```
> 2 2 2
```

....

Create a file supercell.txt with the atomic positions in the supercell

```
$ mv SiO2h.scf.in SiO2_super.scf.in
$ gedit SiO2_super.scf.in
```



1) Remove the atomic positions

2) Copy and paste the atomic positions from supercell.txt to Si02_super.scf.in.

Don't forget to rename the absorbing atom Si1

α – quartz – Scf with a supercell



Other changes to make

1) Change prefix:
prefix='SiO2_super'

2) Change the number of atoms (9 atoms/unit cell x 2x2x2 cells) nat=72

3) Change the lattice parameters:
A = 9.8276
B = 10.8104

4) Divide the number of k points: K_POINTS automatic 1 1 1 0 0 0

\$ \$BIN/pw.x < SiO2_super.scf.in > SiO2_super.scf.out &

α – quartz – Xspectra with a supercell

\$ mv SiO2h.xspectra.in SiO2_super.xspectra.in
\$ gedit SiO2_super.xspectra.in



```
1) Change prefix:
prefix='SiO2_super'
```

```
2) Change.sav file name:
x_save_file='SiO2_super.xanes.sav',
```

3) Divide the number of k-points:2 2 2 0 0 0

```
$ $BIN/xspectra.x < SiO2_super.xspectra.in >
SiO2_super.xspectra.out &
```

Results for a polarization $\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = (0,0,1)$

```
$ mv xanes.dat SiO2_super.xanes.dat
```

- \$ gnuplot
 - > plot `SiO2_super.xanes.dat'



From M. Taillefumier et al., Phys. Rev. B 66, 195107 (2002)

 α -quartz has a trigonal space group (P3_221, n°154) with an hexagonal unit cell and the point group D_3 :

it is a dichroic compound !

The cross-section along the threefold symmetry axis ($\sigma_{||})$ is different from the cross-section in the perpendicular direction (σ_{\perp})

ightarrow Up to now, we calculated the $\sigma_{||}$ cross-section with $\hat{\epsilon} = (0,0,1)$ because the ternary axis is parallel to the **c** axis of the crystal

igstarrow To calculate σ_{ot} , any direction perpendicular to **c** is fine, i.e. $\hat{m{\epsilon}}=(1,0,0)$

	Angular dependence	Point groups
Detailed analysis of the angular dependence of XAS can be found in :	Isotropy (i) Dichroism (ii)	$\begin{array}{c} O_{h}(m3m), T_{d}(\overline{4}3m), O(432), T_{h}(m3), T(23)\\ D_{\varkappa h}(\infty/mm), C_{\varkappa v}(\infty m), D_{6h}(6/mmm), D_{3h}(\overline{6}m2), C_{6v}\\ (6mm), D_{6}(622), C_{6h}(6/m), C_{3h}(\overline{6}), C_{6}(6), D_{3d}(\overline{3}m), C_{3v}\\ (3m), D_{3}(32), S_{6}(\overline{3}), C_{3}(3), D_{4h}(4/mmm), D_{2d}(\overline{4}2m),\\ C_{6}(4mm), D_{6}(42m), C_{6}(4/mm), S_{6}(\overline{4}), C_{6}(4mm), C$
C. Brouder, J . Phys.: Cond. Mat. 2 701-738 (1990)	Trichroism (iiia) Trichroism (iiib) Trichroism (iiic)	$\begin{array}{l} C_{4v}(4,1,m), \ D_{4}(4,22), \ C_{4h}(4,1,m), \ S_{4}(4), \ C_{4}(4) \\ D_{2}(222), \ C_{2v}(mm2), \ D_{2h}(mmm) \\ C_{2}(2), \ C_{s}(m), \ C_{2h}(2/m) \\ C_{1}(1), \ C_{1}(\overline{1}) \end{array}$

α – quartz – XSpectra with a supercell - perp

\$ cp SiO2_super.xspectra.in SiO2_super_perp.xspectra.in
\$ gedit SiO2_super_perp.xspectra.in



```
2) Change .sav file name:
x_save_file='SiO2_super_perp.xanes.sav',
```

Run the calculation with $\hat{\epsilon} = (1, 0, 0)$

```
$ $BIN/xspectra.x < SiO2_super_perp.xspectra.in >
SiO2_super_perp.xspectra.out &
```

α – quartz – XSpectra with a supercell

Plot XNLD

```
$ mv xanes.dat SiO2_super_perp.xanes.dat
$ gnuplot XNLD
```

 α -quartz dichroic signal @ the Si-K edge :



From M. Taillefumier et al., Phys. Rev. B 66, 195107 (2002)

Calculation flow for XAS with XSpectra



2nd example: Ni K-edge in NiO

NiO - SCF calculation

```
$ cd ../NiO
$ gedit NiO.scf.in
 &system
   nspin=2,
    starting magnetization (1) = 1.0,
    starting magnetization(2) = -1.0,
    tot magnetization = 0,
ATOMIC SPECIES
Nil 58.6934 Ni PBE TM 2pj.UPF
Ni2 58.6934 Ni PBE TM 2pj.UPF
 15.9994 O PBE TM.UPF
Ο
ATOMIC POSITIONS crystal
Nil 0.000000000 0.00000000
                               0.000000000
Ni2 -.500000000 1.500000000 -.500000000
```

•••
NiO - SCF calculation

```
$ cd ../NiO
$ gedit NiO.scf.in
 &system
   nspin=2,
    starting magnetization(1)=1.0,
    starting magnetization(2) = -1.0,
    tot magnetization = 0,
ATOMIC SPECIES
Nil 58.6934 Ni PBE TM 2pj.UPF
Ni2 58.6934 Ni PBE TM 2pj.UPF
 15.9994 O PBE TM.UPF
Ο
ATOMIC POSITIONS crystal
Nil 0.000000000 0.00000000
                               0.000000000
Ni2 -.500000000 1.500000000 -.500000000
```

spin-polarized calculation

NiO - SCF calculation

```
$ cd ../NiO
$ gedit NiO.scf.in
```

```
••••
```

&system

```
•••
```

```
nspin=2,
```

```
starting_magnetization(1)=1.0,
starting_magnetization(2)=-1.0,
tot magnetization = 0,
```

two Ni atoms antiferromagnetically-coupled

```
ATOMIC_SPECIES

Ni1 58.6934 Ni_PBE_TM_2pj.UPF

Ni2 58.6934 Ni_PBE_TM_2pj.UPF

O 15.9994 O_PBE_TM.UPF

ATOMIC_POSITIONS crystal

Ni1 0.000000000 0.00000000 0.00000000

Ni2 -.500000000 1.500000000 -.500000000
```

NiO - SCF calculation

\$ cd ../NiO
\$ gedit NiO.scf.in

&system

• • •

```
lda_plus_u=.true.,
Hubbard_U(1)=7.6,
Hubbard_U(2)=7.6,
```

DFT + U Hubbard_U(i): U parameter (eV) for species i

```
/
ATOMIC_SPECIES
Ni1 58.6934 Ni_PBE_TM_2pj.UPF
Ni2 58.6934 Ni_PBE_TM_2pj.UPF
O 15.9994 O_PBE_TM.UPF
```

•••

Run scf calculation

\$ \$BIN/pw.x < NiO.scf.in > NiO.scf.out &

Extract the core wavefunction

```
$ cd ../pseudo
$ ~/TutorialXSpectra/XSpectra/tools/upf2plotcore.sh <
Ni_PBE_TM_2pj.UPF > Ni.wfc
```

Copy the core wavefunction

\$ cd ../NiO

\$ cp ../pseudo/Ni.wfc ./

Run xspectra calculation

```
$ $BIN/xspectra.x < Ni0.xspectra_dip.in >
Ni0.xspectra_dip.out &
```

NiO - XSpectra Dipole

\$ mv xanes.dat NiO.xspectra_dip.dat

Plot up and down contributions to the spectra

- \$ gnuplot
- > plot 'NiO.xanes_dip.dat' u 1:2 w l,'NiO.xanes_dip.dat' u
- 1:3 w l, 'NiO.xanes_dip.dat' u 1:4 w l



NiO - XSpectra Quadrupole

```
$ cp NiO.xspectra_dip.in NiO.xspectra_qua.in
$ gedit NiO.xspectra_qua.in
```


1) Change calculation :
calculation='xanes quadrupole',

```
2) Add xkvec :
    xkvec(1) = 1.0,
    xkvec(2) = 1.0,
    xkvec(3) = -1.0,
```

wavevector of the incident wave (required for quadrupole calculations) : $k \perp \epsilon$

\$ \$BIN/xspectra.x < NiO.xspectra_qua.in > NiO.xspectra_qua.out &

NiO - XSpectra Quadrupole

\$ mv xanes.dat NiO.xspectra_qua.dat

Plot up and down contributions to the spectra

\$ gnuplot

> plot 'NiO.xanes_qua.dat' u 1:2 w l,'NiO.xanes_qua.dat' u
1:3 w l,'NiO.xanes_qua.dat' u 1:4 w l



XSpectra : summary

XSpectra now :

- calculates any **K**, **L**₁, **L**₂₃ edges (for which a single-particle description is appropriate !)
- calculates electric dipole (K and L edges) and electric quadrupole (K and L₁ edges)
- very efficient due to the Lanczos algorithm + continued fraction approach
- supports all standard DFT functionals available in Quantum Espresso (PZ,PBE,PZ+U,PBE+U)
- supports both ultrasoft and norm conserving pseudopotentials
- the pseudopotential of the absorbing species must contain information on the core states (GIPAW)
- the all electron reconstruction is performed within GIPAW
- a supercell is needed to model the core hole (periodic boundary conditions)

Not yet supported :

- spin-orbit coupling
- circular polarization, XMCD
- hybrid functionals (B3LYP, PBE0, ...)

Thank you for your attention and good luck for your calculations !

Proof : The continued fraction (I)

Assume that we have a Lanczos basis $\{|u_0\rangle, |u_1\rangle, |u_2\rangle, \dots, |u_n\rangle\}$ in which the operator \mathcal{H} has the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & a_1 & b_2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & a_2 & b_3 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_3 & a_3 & b_4 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & b_{n-1} & a_{n-1} & b_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & b_n & a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

We want to calculate the matrix element $\langle u_0 | \left(\mathcal{H} - \beta \mathcal{I} \right)^{-1} | u_0 \rangle$ with β a scalar

A way to proceed is the following (Gaussian elimination):

1. Use the relations :

$$(\mathcal{H} - \beta \mathcal{I}) |u_n\rangle = (a_n - \beta) |u_n\rangle + b_n |u_{n-1}\rangle$$
$$(\mathcal{H} - \beta \mathcal{I}) |u_{n-1}\rangle = b_n |u_n\rangle + (a_{n-1} - \beta) |u_{n-1}\rangle + b_{n-1} |u_{n-2}\rangle$$

and eliminate the term in $|u_n\rangle$:

$$\left(\mathcal{H} - \beta \mathcal{I}\right) \left(|u_{n-1}\rangle - \frac{b_n}{a_n - \beta} |u_n\rangle \right) = \left(a_{n-1} - \beta - \frac{b_n^2}{a_n - \beta}\right) |u_{n-1}\rangle + b_{n-1} |u_{n-2}\rangle$$

Proof : The continued fraction (II)

2. Now, use the previous relation as well as :

$$\left(\mathcal{H} - \beta \mathcal{I}\right) |u_{n-2}\rangle = b_{n-1} |u_{n-1}\rangle + (a_{n-2} - \beta) |u_{n-2}\rangle + b_{n-2} |u_{n-3}\rangle$$

$$\text{to eliminate } |u_{n-1}\rangle : \\ (\mathcal{H} - \beta \mathcal{I}) \left(|u_{n-2}\rangle - \frac{b_{n-1}}{a_{n-1} - \beta - \frac{b_n^2}{a_n - \beta}} \left(|u_{n-1}\rangle - \frac{b_n}{a_n - \beta} |u_n\rangle \right) \right) = \left(a_{n-2} - \frac{b_{n-1}^2}{a_{n-1} - \beta - \frac{b_n^2}{a_n - \beta}} \right) |u_{n-2}\rangle \\ + b_{n-2} |u_{n-3}\rangle$$

Continuing this way, we get the expression of $|u_0
angle$ as a function of the $|u_k
angle$'s :

$$(\mathcal{H} - \beta \mathcal{I}) \left(|u_0\rangle - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k |u_k\rangle \right) = \left(a_0 - \beta - \frac{b_1^2}{a_1 - \beta - \frac{b_2^2}{a_2 - \beta - \frac{b_3^2}{a_{n-2} - \beta - \frac{\ddots}{a_{n-1} - \beta - \frac{b_n^2}{a_n - \beta}}}} \right) |u_0\rangle$$

Proof : The continued fraction (III)

Multiplying on the left by $\langle u_0 | (\mathcal{H} - \beta \mathcal{I})^{-1}$, we obtain :



The matrix element finally appears as a continued fraction !